

Press Release

CHANGES TO 30/70 FUND-RAISING RULE TO FACILITATE FUND-RAISING EFFORTS

The rule for computing the 30/70 fund-raising efficiency ratio, commonly known as the “30/70 rule”, for charities and institutions of a public character (IPCs) will be revised. The revised rule will apply to fund-raising events for charities and IPCs whose financial year ends after 31 March 2008. These changes to the 30/70 rule will facilitate fund-raising efforts of charities and IPCs without compromising the principle that the costs of fund-raising should not exceed 30% of the total funds raised.

Changes to 30/70 Rule

2. These changes were made taking into account feedback from the charity sector and the Charity Council’s advice. The changes are:

- a. **“Sponsorship” in the fund-raising efficiency ratio will be re-defined to refer only to cash sponsorships and in-kind sponsorships where tax deduction receipts are issued.** Non-tax deductible in-kind sponsorships such as the cost of jewellery donated for a charity auction need not be included. Nonetheless, information on all sponsorships received by a charity or an IPC conducting public fund-raising should still be separately disclosed in its financial statements for transparency and accountability.
- b. **For fund-raising done via sale of merchandise, only the net proceeds i.e. the gross amount received from sale of merchandise less cost of relevant goods, will be treated as receipts.** The cost of merchandise need not be included as part of fund-raising expenses. In this way, charities and IPCs will not need to raise the price of their merchandise to an unrealistic level in order to meet the 30/70 rule. This will help them to attract more donors who would be able to purchase the merchandise at more competitive prices.

How Changes Will Facilitate Fund-Raising Efforts

3. Two examples are given below to illustrate how these changes will help facilitate fund-raising efforts:

- a. **Example on Sponsorship:** An IPC conducted a charity auction to raise funds with donors sponsoring jewellery items worth \$100,000 in market value. Total proceeds from the auction were \$150,000 while the direct fund-raising expenses such as cost of venue, food and event management were \$10,000. Based on the old computation, the fund-raising efficiency

ratio would be 44%¹, which exceeds the 30% limit under the regulations. With the revised rule, the efficiency ratio would now be only about 7%².

- b. **Example on Sale of Merchandise:** A charity raised funds by selling T-shirts with some public education slogans printed on them. There was no sponsorship. The T-shirts were procured at a cost of \$30,000 and the total sale proceeds were \$60,000 while direct fund-raising expenses such as marketing materials and rental of venue were \$6,000. The fund-raising efficiency ratio based on the old computation is 60%³. Based on the new computation however, the ratio is only 20%⁴ which meets the 30/70 rule.

Amendments to Charities Regulations

4. In view of the changes to the 30/70 rule, the Charities (Institutions of a Public Character) Regulations and the Charities (Fund-Raising Appeals) Regulations will be amended accordingly. The amended regulations can be found on the Charity Portal (www.charities.gov.sg) by 1 April 2008.

FOR REFERENCE:

30/70 Fund-Raising Efficiency Ratio – The fund-raising efficiency ratio is the total fund-raising and sponsorship expenses of a charity or an IPC to the total gross receipts from fund-raising and sponsorships of the charity or IPC for that financial year. According to the regulations, all charities and IPCs are expected to keep their fund-raising efficiency ratio below 30%, which is commonly known as the 30/70 rule.

The formula is given below:

$$(E + S) / (R + S) \times 100\% \leq 30\%$$

where **E** refers to the total expenses relating to fund-raising; **R** refers to the total gross receipts from fund-raising, other than receipts from sponsorships; and **S** refers to the total cost or value of sponsored goods and services relating to fund-raising.

Issued by
The Office of the Commissioner of Charities

25 March 2008

¹ Using the old computation, E is \$10,000; R is \$150,000; S is \$100,000. Hence, $[10,000 + 100,000] / [150,000 + 100,000] \times 100\% = 44\%$.

² Using the new computation, E is \$10,000; R is \$150,000; S is \$0 because the non-tax deductible in-kind sponsorship of jewellery need not be included now. Hence, $[10,000 / 150,000] \times 100\% = 6.7\%$.

³ Based on the old computation, E is $(\$30,000 + \$6,000 = \$36,000)$; R is \$60,000; S is \$0. Hence, $[36,000 / 60,000] \times 100\% = 60\%$.

⁴ Using the new computation, E is \$6,000; R is $(\$60,000 - \$30,000 = \$30,000)$; S is \$0. Hence, $[6,000 / 30,000] \times 100\% = 20\%$.